A relativistic hydro model compared to LHC data

Sándor Lökös

Eötvös University

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Outline

- 1. The basic equations
- 2. Few relativistic solutions
- 3. The investigated solution
- 4. Comparing to data

Basic equations

- Continuity equation: $\partial_{\mu}(nu^{\mu}) = 0$
- The energy-momentum tensor: $T^{\mu
 u} = (\epsilon + p)u^{\mu}u^{
 u} pg^{\mu
 u}$
- Euler-equation: $(\epsilon + p)u^{\nu}\partial_{\nu}u^{\mu} = (g^{\mu\rho} u^{\mu}u^{\rho})\partial_{\rho}p$
- Energy conservation: $(\epsilon + p)\partial_{\nu}u^{\nu} + u^{\nu}\partial_{\nu}\epsilon = 0$
- Equations of state: p = nT $\epsilon = \kappa(T)p$

Landau–Khalatnikov-solution (LK)

(S. Belen'kji and L. Landau, Il Nuovo Cimento (1955-1965) 3, 15 10.1007/BF02745507 (1956).)

- 1. 1+1 dimesion
- 2. Implicit
- 3. Longitudinal
- 4. Can be applied to $p^+ p^+$ collision

Landau–Khalatnikov-solution (LK)

(S. Belen'kji and L. Landau, Il Nuovo Cimento (1955-1965) 3, 15 10.1007/BF02745507 (1956).)

- 1. 1+1 dimesion
- 2. Implicit
- 3. Longitudinal
- 4. Can be applied to $p^+ p^+$ collision
- Hwa-Bjørken-solution (HB)

(R. C. Hwa, Phys. Rev. D 10, 2260 (1974). ; J. D. Bjorken, Phys. Rev. D 27, 140 (1983).)

- 1. 1+1 dimension
- 2. Accelerationless
- 3. Explicit
- 4. Underestimating the initial energy density

Nagy–Csörgő–Csanád-solution (NCC)

(T. Csorgo, M. I. Nagy, and M. Csanad, Phys. Lett. B663, 306 (2008) [arXiv:nucl-th/0605070].)

- 1. Written in Rindler-coordinates
- 2. Acceleration
- 3. Spherical
- 4. Contain the HB-solution

Nagy–Csörgő–Csanád-solution (NCC)

(T. Csorgo, M. I. Nagy, and M. Csanad, Phys. Lett. B663, 306 (2008) [arXiv:nucl-th/0605070].)

- $1. \ \ Written \ \ in \ \ Rindler-coordinates$
- 2. Acceleration
- 3. Spherical
- 4. Contain the HB-solution
- <u>Bialas-solution</u> (Phys.Rev.C76:054901,2007)
 - 1. Written in light-cone coordinate
 - 2. 1+1 dimension
 - 3. Connects the HB-solution and LK-solution

The investigated solution

(Csörgő, Csernai, Hama, Kodama Heavy.Ion.Phys. A21:73-84, 2004)

This solution has self-similar, ellipsoidal symmetry: $s = \frac{x^2}{X^2(t)} + \frac{y^2}{Y^2(t)} + \frac{z^2}{Z^2(t)}$ is the scaling variable

► The velocity field is Hubble-type field:

•
$$u^{\mu} = \gamma \left(1, \frac{\dot{X}}{X} x, \frac{\dot{Y}}{Y} y, \frac{\dot{Z}}{Z} z \right) = \frac{x^{\mu}}{\tau}$$

•
$$\dot{X}, \dot{Y}, \dot{Z} = const. \rightarrow accerelationless$$

The thermodynamical functions:

$$\begin{array}{l} \bullet \quad n = n_0 \left(\frac{\tau_0}{\tau}\right)^3 \nu(s) \\ \bullet \quad T = T_0 \left(\frac{\tau_0}{\tau}\right)^{3/\kappa} \frac{1}{\nu(s)} \\ \nu(s) \text{ is an arbitrary function (e.g. } \nu(s) = e^{-bs/2}) \end{array}$$

The source function, the momentum distribution

▶ Relativistic Maxwell–Boltzmann-distribution → Maxwell–Jüttner-distribution:

$$N_1(p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} S(x,p) d^4 x = \int \mathcal{N}n \exp\left[\frac{p_\mu u^\mu}{T}\right] H(\tau) d\tau p_\mu d^3 \Sigma_\mu(x),$$

- ► the freeze-out hyper surface can be written: $d^{3}\Sigma(x) = \frac{u^{\mu}d^{3}x}{u^{0}}$ if $H(\tau) = \delta(\tau - \tau_{0})$
- $p_z = 0$ and average ϕ
- the transverse momentum distribution:

$$N_1(p_t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} N_1(p) d\phi$$

The elliptic flow – azimuthal asymmetry

$$N_1(p) = N_1(p_t) \left[1 + 2 \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n \cos(n\phi) \right]$$

$$v_2(p_t) = \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi N_1(p_t, \phi) \cos(2\phi)}{\int_0^{2\pi} N_1(p_t, \phi)}$$

The freeze-out expansion anisotropy can be chosen to compared with data:

$$\epsilon = \frac{\dot{X^2} - \dot{Y^2}}{\dot{X^2} + \dot{Y^2}}$$

and the transverse expansion rate:

$$\frac{1}{u_t^2} = \left(\frac{1}{\dot{X^2}} + \frac{1}{\dot{Y^2}}\right)$$

The two-particle correlation

The two-particle correlation is the Fourier-transforming of source function

$$C_2 = rac{N_2(p_1, p_2)}{N_1(p_1)N_2(p_2)} = 1 + \left|rac{\tilde{S}(q, K)}{\tilde{S}(q = 0, K)}
ight|^2$$

where: $q = p_1 - p_2$, $K = 0.5 \cdot (p_1 + p_2)$ If the source function is Gaussian \rightarrow

$$C_2 = 1 + \exp\left(-R_x^2 q_x^2 - R_y^2 q_y^2 - R_z^2 q_z^2
ight)$$

To compare the R_x , R_y , R_z HBT radii with data the Bretsch-Pratt frame:

► if
$$H(\tau) = \delta(\tau - \tau_0)$$

► $R_{out}^2 = \frac{R_x^2 + R_y^2}{2}$ $R_{long}^2 = R_z^2$ $R_{side}^2 = R_{out}^2$

Comparison with RHIC/LHC data

RHIC: (Csanád, M. and Vargyas, M. Eur.Phys.J. A44:473-478,2010)

Parameters	RHIC values	LHC values
<i>T</i> ₀ [MeV]	199 ± 3	270 ± 3
ϵ	0.80 ± 0.02	0.95 ± 0.04
u_t^2/b	-0.84 ± 0.08	$\textbf{-1.44}\pm\textbf{0.22}$
τ_0 [fm/c]	7.7 ± 0.1	8.10 ± 0.22
\dot{Z}_0^2/b	-1.6 ± 0.3	fixed
NDF	41	46
χ^2	24	67
Probablity		2.3%

Parameters	RHIC values	LHC values
$T_0[MeV]$	204 ± 7	272 ± 10.0
ϵ	0.34 ± 0.01	0.23 ± 0.13
u_t^2/b	$\textbf{-0.34}\pm0.01$	-0.300 ± 0.023
NDF	34	5
χ^2	66	14
Probablity		1.6 %

Sándor Lökös Eötvös University A relativistic hydro model compared to LHC data

Comparison with RHIC data

(Csanád, M. and Vargyas, M. Eur.Phys.J. A44:473-478,2010)



Sándor Lökös Eötvös University

A relativistic hydro model compared to LHC data

Comparison with LHC data



Sándor Lökös Eötvös University A relativistic hydro model compared to LHC data

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

æ



► The central (maximal) freeze-out temperatures: $T_{RHIC} \approx 200 \, MeV$, $T_{LHC} \approx 270 \, MeV$

э

-

Summary

- ► The central (maximal) freeze-out temperatures: $T_{RHIC} \approx 200 \, MeV$, $T_{LHC} \approx 270 \, MeV$
- The freeze-out proper times: $au_{RHIC} = 7.7$, $au_{LHC} = 8.1$

Summary

- ► The central (maximal) freeze-out temperatures: $T_{RHIC} \approx 200 \, MeV$, $T_{LHC} \approx 270 \, MeV$
- The freeze-out proper times: $au_{RHIC}=7.7$, $au_{LHC}=8.1$
- ► It's seems, the model can be applied to data of LHC too.

Thank you for your attention!

 Jet quenching – missing high-monument particle (K. Adcox et al. Phys.Rev.Lett. 88.022301 (2002)).

-

3 N

- Jet quenching missing high-monument particle (K. Adcox et al. Phys.Rev.Lett. 88.022301 (2002)).
- Checking (Au+Au, d+Au) (Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 072303 (2003))

- Jet quenching missing high-monument particle (K. Adcox et al. Phys.Rev.Lett. 88.022301 (2002)).
- Checking (Au+Au, d+Au) (Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 072303 (2003))
- Collective behaving: fluid (v₂ ≠ 0) (Nucl. Phys. A 757, 184-283 (2005))

- Jet quenching missing high-monument particle (K. Adcox et al. Phys.Rev.Lett. 88.022301 (2002)).
- Checking (Au+Au, d+Au) (Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 072303 (2003))
- Collective behaving: fluid (v₂ ≠ 0) (Nucl. Phys. A 757, 184-283 (2005))
- Scaling property (Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 162301 (2007))

- Jet quenching missing high-monument particle (K. Adcox et al. Phys.Rev.Lett. 88.022301 (2002)).
- Checking (Au+Au, d+Au) (Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 072303 (2003))
- Collective behaving: fluid (v₂ ≠ 0) (Nucl. Phys. A 757, 184-283 (2005))
- Scaling property (Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 162301 (2007))
- Almost a perfect fluid (Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 172301 (2007))

- Jet quenching missing high-monument particle (K. Adcox et al. Phys.Rev.Lett. 88.022301 (2002)).
- Checking (Au+Au, d+Au) (Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 072303 (2003))
- Collective behaving: fluid (v₂ ≠ 0) (Nucl. Phys. A 757, 184-283 (2005))
- Scaling property (Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 162301 (2007))
- Almost a perfect fluid (Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 172301 (2007))
- ▶ High temperature (Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 132301 (2010))

• • = • • = •

The source function

$$S(x,p)d^{4}x = \mathcal{N}ne^{-\frac{p_{\mu}u^{\mu}}{T}}H(\tau)d\tau p_{\mu}d^{3}\Sigma_{\mu}(x), \qquad (1)$$

where the Cooper-Frye-faktor $(\tau = \acute{a}II. \text{ and } H(\tau) = \delta(\tau - \tau_0)):$ $d^3\Sigma_{\mu}(x) = \frac{u^{\mu}d^3x}{u^0}.$

if a second order Gaussian approximation is applied:

$$N_1(p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} S(x,p) = \overline{N} \cdot \overline{E} \cdot \overline{V} \cdot e^{\frac{p^2}{2ET_0} - \frac{p_X^2}{2ET_x} - \frac{p_Y^2}{2ET_y} - \frac{p_Z^2}{2ET_z}}$$
(2)

Momentum distribution

$$T_{x} = T_{0} + \frac{ET_{0}\dot{X}_{0}^{2}}{b(T_{0} - E)}$$
(3)

After some calculations:

$$N_1(p_t) = \overline{NV} \left(E - \frac{p_t^2 (T_{\text{eff}} - T_0)}{ET_{\text{eff}}} \right) e^{\left[\frac{p_t^2}{2ET_{\text{eff}}} + \frac{p_t^2}{ET_0} - \frac{E}{T_0} \right]}$$
(4)

where $1/T_{
m eff}=0.5(1/T_x+1/T_y)$ and

$$w = \frac{p_t^2}{4m_t} \left(\frac{1}{T_y} - \frac{1}{T_x} \right)$$
(5)

э

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

have been introduce.

Elliptic flow

$$v_{2} = \frac{\int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi N_{1}(p_{t},\phi) \cos(2\phi)}{\int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi N_{1}(p_{t},\phi)}.$$
 (6)

with $l_1(w) \approx 2w l_0(w)$, $l_2(w) \approx 0$ approximations:

$$v_2(p_t) = \frac{l_1(w)}{l_0(w)} \left(1 + \frac{2T_0}{E - \frac{p_t^2(T_{\text{eff}} - T_0)}{ET_{\text{eff}}}} \right).$$
(7)

Sándor Lökös Eötvös University A relativistic hydro model compared to LHC data

(B) (B) (B)

Correlation function (HBT)

Symmetric two-particle wavefunction:

$$\Psi_{1,2} = 1/\sqrt{2} \left(e^{ik_1r_1} e^{ik_2r_2} + e^{ik_1r_2} e^{ik_2r_1} \right)$$
(8)

Two-particle momentum distribution: $N_2(p_1, p_2) = \int S(r_1, p_1) S(r_2, p_2) |\Psi_{1,2}|^2 d^4 r_1 d^4 r_2$

where
$$|\Psi_{1,2}|^2 = 1 + (e^{-i(k_1-k_2)r_1}e^{-i(k_1-k_2)r_2})$$

Put in the integrals to formula \rightarrow Fourier-integrals, where $q = r_1 - r_2$ és $\mathcal{K} = 0.5(p_1 + p_2) \rightarrow C_2 = 1 + \left| \frac{\tilde{s}(q,\mathcal{K})}{\tilde{s}(0,\mathcal{K})} \right|$

If the source function is Gaussian, the correlation function:

$$C_2(q,K) = 1 + \exp\left(-R_x^2 q_x^2 - R_y^2 q_y^2 - R_z^2 q_z^2\right)$$
(9)